Case 1:07-cv-05234-AKH Do	cument 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER	21 MC 100 (AKH)
DISASTER SITE LITIGATION  BRUCE MOGG AND ROSA MOGG	DOCKET NO.
Plaintif	check-off ("Short form") complaint related to the master complaint
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defenda	nts.
	K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, plaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
N	IOTICE OF ADOPTION
instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herei	Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the n in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual e marked with an 'v' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), needed, below.
Plaintiffs, BRUCE MOGG AND ROEDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, compl	SA MOGG, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER aining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
1	. PARTIES
	A. PLAINTIFF(S)

Alternatively,  $\square$  \_\_\_\_\_ is the \_\_\_\_\_ of Decedent \_\_\_\_\_, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of \_\_\_\_\_\_

(OR)

citizen of New York residing at 135 Jay Street, Stony Point, NY 10980-.

2.

☑ Plaintiff, BRUCE MOGG (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05234-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. residing at 13 Plaintiff:		the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New York and has the following relationship to the Injured	
	BRUCE MOGG, and brings to injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff his derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff BRUCE MOGG.	
	□ Parent □ Child □	Other:	
4. Construction	In the period from 8/17/2003 to 3/30/2 as a Operator at:	2004 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Tishman	
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations	
=======================================			
	d Trade Center Site	☐ The Barge	
Location(s) ( <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)  From on or about _8/17/2003_ until _3/30/2004_;  Approximately _12_ hours per day; for		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	ly <u>170</u> days total.	□ <b>Other:*</b> For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
The New York City Medical Examiner's Office  From on or about until,  Approximately hours per day; for  Approximately days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
========	Kills Landfill	From on or about;	
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for	
Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site	
		Building/Worksite:	
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
☐ Granting petition was made on	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
——————————————————————————————————————	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	<ul><li>☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.</li><li>☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.</li></ul>
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
	r.c. ☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on 3/14/07	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	MEW TORK, INC.  ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING ☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

## Case 1:07-cv-05234-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
	III CAUSES	SOF	ACTION
of lial	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>✓</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

## Case 1:07-cv-05234-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 2/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Anxiety; Skin Rash; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 2/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

*NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.* 

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
 V	Pain and suffering	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
<b>✓</b>	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
<b>▽</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and	
<b>V</b>	rehabilitation  Other:  ☑ Mental anguish	
	<ul> <li>✓ Disability</li> <li>✓ Medical monitoring</li> <li>✓ Other: Not yet determined</li> </ul>	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Bruce Mogg and Rosa Mogg

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docke	t No:
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===	Bruce Mogg (and Wife, Rosa Mogg),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
===	To Attorney(s) for
===	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	DOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.
	Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP